INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

al Application No Interna PCT/EP2004/051234

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C12M1/113 C12M1/16

C12N1/14

C12R1/645

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, COMPENDEX, PAJ, BIOSIS, WPI Data

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	e relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GUTIERREZ-CORREA MARCEL ET AL: culture solid substrate fermen Trichoderma reesei with Asperg on sugar cane bagasse" BIORESOURC TECHNOL; BIORESOURC MAY 1999 ELSEVIER SCI LTD, EXE vol. 68, no. 2, May 1999 (1999- 173-178, XP001204371 the whole document	tation of illus niger E TECHNOLOGY TER, ENGL,	1-15, 17-19
χ Furl	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed	l in annex.
	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	<u></u>	
Special consideration	ategories of cited documents : ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance	Patent family members are listed T later document published after the into or priority date and not in conflict wit died to understand the principle or to invention.	
*Special consideration of the	ategories of cited documents : ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date	T later document published after the into or priority date and not in conflict wit cited to understand the principle or tinvention X document of particular relevance; the	ternational filing date h the application but heory underlying the ctaimed invention
* Special consideration of the	ategories of cited documents : ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention to particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot by the cannot by the cannot be considered novel or cannot by the cannot be considered novel or cannot by the cannot be considered novel or cann	dernational filing date the application but theory underlying the ctalmed invention to be considered to locument is taken alone
"A" docum consider filing of the country of the cou	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified)	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot have an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an inventive and the considered to involve and the considered to invo	ternational filing date h the application but heory underlying the claimed invention of be considered to locument is taken alone claimed invention nventive step when the
° Special of °A' docum consider °E' earlier filing of °L' docum which citation °O' docum other	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another no or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention The document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the decoument of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvi	dernational filing date the application but theory underlying the ctalmed invention to be considered to locument is taken alone ctalmed invention nventive step when the hore other such docu-
"A' docum consider filing of the citation other "P' docum	ategories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (es specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the discovered to involve an invention of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or not be considered to involve an indicating the considered with one or not be considered to involve an indicating the considered to involve an indicating the considered to involve an involve	ternational filing date h the application but heory underlying the ctaimed invention of be considered to locument is taken alone claimed invention nventive step when the nore other such docu- ous to a person skilled
"A" docum consider to docum which citatio "O" docum other to docum later t	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) sent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention. The document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered to involve an involve an inventive such combined with one or ments, such combination being obviting the art.	ternational filing date in the application but heory underlying the ctaimed invention of be considered to locument is taken alone claimed invention nventive step when the nore other such docu- ous to a person skilled
Special of "A" docum consider "E" earlier filing "L" docum which citatilo "O" docum other "P" docum later t	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another or or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or to invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the description of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or in ments, such combination being obvitin the art. "&" document member of the same patern	ternational filing date in the application but heory underlying the ctaimed invention of be considered to locument is taken alone ctaimed invention nventive step when the nore other such docu- ous to a person skilled at family
Special of "A" docum consider is artier filing a "L" docum which citatio "O" docum other "P" docum later i	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed actual completion of the international search 25 April 2005 mailing address of the ISA	T' later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or to invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the description of the cannot be considered to involve an indecember of the cannot be considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvious in the art. "&" document member of the same patern	ternational filing date in the application but heory underlying the ctaimed invention of be considered to locument is taken alone ctaimed invention nventive step when the nore other such docu- ous to a person skilled at family
Special of "A" docum consider is artier filing a "L" docum which citatio "O" docum other "P" docum later i	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another or or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed actual completion of the international search	T later document published after the interpretation or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the decannot be considered to involve an involve and the cannot be considered to involve an involve and the combined with one or ments, such combined with one or ments, such combined with one or matter the art. "&" document member of the same patern Date of mailing of the international sets.	ternational filing date in the application but heory underlying the ctaimed invention of be considered to locument is taken alone ctaimed invention nventive step when the nore other such docu- ous to a person skilled at family



Internation Application No PCT/EP2004/051234

		PCT/EP2004/051	/051234	
	etion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category •	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevan	t to ctaim No.	
X	MADAMWAR D ET AL: "FORMATION OF CELLULASES BY CO-CULTURING OF TRICHODERMA-REESEI AND ASPERGILLUS-NIGER ON CELLULOSIC WASTE" WORLD JOURNAL OF MICROBIOLOGY AND BIOTECHNOLOGY, vol. 8, no. 2, 1992, pages 183-186, XP009041572 ISSN: 0959-3993 the whole document	1	-15, 7-19, 2-24	
X	CASTILLO MARIA R ET AL: "Mixed culture solid substrate fermentation for cellulolytic enzyme production" BIOTECHNOLOGY LETTERS, vol. 16, no. 9, 1994, pages 967-972, XP009041573 ISSN: 0141-5492 the whole document		15, 7-19	
X	WO 02/088342 A (KAMATH JYOTHI ANANTHA; RANGANATHAN LAKSHMI (IN); BIOCON INDIA LTD (IN) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07) the whole document		l-15, l7-19	
A	ALAM MD ZAHANGIR ET AL: "Bioconversion of domestic wastewater sludge by immobilized mixed culture of Penicillum corylophilum WWZA1003 and Aspergillus niger SCahmA103" ARTIFICIAL CELLS BLOOD SUBSTITUTES AND IMMOBILIZATION BIOTECHNOLOGY, vol. 30, no. 4, July 2002 (2002-07), pages 307-318, XP008016282 ISSN: 1073-1199			
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 25, 12 April 2001 (2001-04-12) & JP 2001 231551 A (KONDO TAKASHI; HARADA CHIHIRO; HIRANO MINORU), 28 August 2001 (2001-08-28) abstract -& DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 200170 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class B04, AN 2001-609958 XP002325971 & JP 2001 231551 A (HARADA C) 28 August 2001 (2001-08-28) abstract		20-22	
A	EP 1 258 741 A (BAYER AG) 20 November 2002 (2002-11-20) the whole document		20-22	
	-/			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal al Application No PCT/EP2004/051234

lim No. 1 1
1
1
1
1
1
1

á

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

remational application No. PCT/EP2004/051234

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report Is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-15,17-19,22-24

A culture method for producing a defined enzyme mixture and/or metabolite mixture and a method for the cultivation of microorganisms at equal growth rates

2. claims: 16,20,21

A method for the conservation of enzyme mixtures produced in a solid state fermentation ${\bf r}$

3. claims: 25-31

Bioreactor

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

Internation No PCT/EP2004/051234

Patent document clted in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 02088342	Α	07-11-2002	WO	02088342 A1	07-11-2002
JP 2001231551	A	28-08-2001	NONE		
EP 1258741	Α	20-11-2002	DE EP	10124042 C1 1258741 A2	02-01-2003 20-11-2002
EP 0140723	Α	08-05-1985	FR EP	2550420 A1 0140723 A1	15-02-1985 08-05-1985
EP 0225479	A	16-06-1987	DE EP ES YU YU	3539875 A1 0225479 A2 2003166 A6 152287 A1 190086 A1	14-05-1987 16-06-1987 16-10-1988 31-08-1988 31-08-1988
US 5773526	A	30-06-1998	NL AU BR CN DE DE EP JP WO	9401454 A 3232095 A 9508893 A 1158635 A ,C 69510679 D1 69510679 T2 0779920 A1 10504967 T 9607726 A1	01-04-1996 27-03-1996 18-11-1997 03-09-1997 12-08-1999 23-03-2000 25-06-1997 19-05-1998 14-03-1996
DE 4119798	Α	17-12-1992	DE WO	4119798 A1 9222633 A1	17-12-1992 23-12-1992
DE 19624268	Α	10-04-1997	CH DE	688737 A5 19624268 A1	13-02-1998 10-04-1997
DE 29520618	U	02-05-1996	DE	29520618 U1	02-05-1996